



Bulletin 274 June 1936

COLOR OF LEAN OF BEEF as Affected by GRASS AND GRAIN FEEDING

by J. H. LONGWELL

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY
F. D. FROMME, Director
MORGANTOWN

Color of Lean of Beef as Affected by Grass and Grain Feeding

by J. H. LONGWELL

Consumers of Beef generally object to dark-colored lean, preferring a bright-red color. This objection to dark beef probably is based on the impression that the beef is dark because it was produced by an inferior animal, or because it was handled improperly at the time of or subsequent to slaughter, and that as a result of one or both of these factors the beef will be less desirable. For this reason the retailer usually is forced to sell dark beef at a lower price than bright beef. The retailer in turn is unwilling to pay the meat packer as much for dark as for bright beef. No external characteristic of the live animal is known to be an indication of the color of the beef which the animal will produce. Justifiably or not, the packer commonly attributes dark color in beef to the use of grass as a feed.

Grass-finished cattle eemmonly are penalized on the market because of the widespread opinion among meat packers and retailers that the beef will be dark. Because of this almost universal objection to grassfed cattle, West Virginia cattlemen, as well as those in adjoining states, often are forced to accept a lower price for their cattle than for grain-

fed cattle of similar grade.

The experimental cattle-feeding work which has been carried on at Morgantown and Lewisburg has provided material which may help to

answer the question of the effect of feed on the color of beef.

Color readings were made on beef samples from fat yearlings, 2-year-old, and 3-year-old cattle. The yearlings, all of which were fed at Morgantown, included eight good and choice grade native steers slaughtered in August, 1930, one Angus heifer slaughtered in December, 1929, and one Shorthorn and two Angus steers slaughtered in October,

1930. All these cattle were grain-fed and highly finished.

The two-year-old cattle fed at Morgantown included eight grade native steers slaughtered in November, 1930, and 30 grade native steers slaughtered in October, 1931. The eight steers in 1930 were on pasture alone from May 1st until September 4th, then were full-fed a ration consisting of cracked corn (16.66 parts) and cottonseed oilmeal (one part), with hay, in dry-lot for 56 days. The 30 steers fed in 1931 were divided into three lots of ten head each about May 1st and were fed as follows:

Lot 1—grass alone, 56 days; grain on grass, 140 days. Lot 2—grass alone, 84 days; grain on grass, 112 days. Lot 3—grass alone, 140 days; grain in dry lot, 56 days.

Lots 1 and 2 received the same total amount of grain until September 4th. After this date all three lots received all the grain they would clean up readily for the last 56 days of the experiment. The grain mixture consisted of six parts cracked corn and one part cottonseed meal.

The two-year-old cattle fed at Lewisburg included 50 steers in each of the three years, 1929, 1930, and 1931. With the exception of 12 western steers, all these cattle were grade native steers purchased in the fall and carried through the winter on corn silage, straw or hay, and cottonseed oilmeal.

About May 1st each year these steers were divided into five lots of ten head each and fed as follows:

Lot 1-A-grass alone, 140 days.

Lot 2—grass alone, 56 days; grain on grass, 84 days.

Lot 3—grain on grass, 140 days.

Lot 1-B—grass alone, 140 days; grain on grass, 56 days.

Lot 1-C-grass alone, 140 days; grain in dry lot, 56 days.

The grain consisted of shelled corn (eight parts) and cottonseed meal (one part).

In 1929 all ten steers in Lot 1-A were slaughtered and color readings made on the beef, but beef from only five steers from each of the other four lots was available for color determinations. In 1930 and 1931 all ten steers in each lot were slaughtered and color readings made on the beef.

The three-year-old steers at Morgantown were purchased as calves and received no grain at any time except the small amounts of oilmeal fed during the winter. They were fattened on grass and sold at the end of the grazing period.

The carcasses from all these cattle were inspected, and samples were obtained from the rib eye for color determinations. Nearly all samples were taken from the right rib eye near the twelfth rib. In a few cases it was necessary to take the sample near the eighth rib.

The color values of each sample of lean beef were determined from data obtained with a spectrophotometer or color analyzer. This instrument is used to measure the amount of a standard light reflected from the sample at selected intervals through the entire visible spectrum. From these data the color values are calculated. The values so calculated constitute a complete description of the color of a sample of beef. These values are absolute, the errors being eliminated that would be introduced by estimating the color with the eye. Once obtained, the values may be compared with values of samples taken at another time, thus making it possible to compare the colors of meat produced at widely separated times.

The color values are expressed in terms of dominant hue, purity or intensity, and relative brightness. Most naturally occurring colors consist of mixtures of two or more of the seven primary hues, violet, blue, blue-green, green, yellow, orange, and red. Usually one of these hues will dominate all the others and will be the principal hue affecting the color. This is the dominant hue, and it is expressed in millimicrons wave length. This dominant hue may be present in varying amounts, the amount determining the intensity or purity of the color. The intensity is expressed in percentage of this hue. The relative brightness refers to the brightness of the color compared with noon-day sunlight.

It is expressed in percentage. In beef color the relative brightness is related more closely to the color of beef as the eye sees it than is either hue or intensity. Brightness values of 11 or above represent bright beef, between 9 and 11, medium bright, and below 9, dark beef. Extremely dark beef, or beef from what commonly are called "black cutters," has brightness values of 7 or less.

Table 1-Color values of the beef from fat yearlings grain-fed at Morgantown

Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Brightness
21	596	27	12.24
22	593	32	11.50
23	603	24	10.93
24	605	20	9.92
25	602	29	13.03
26	- 604	20	12.06
$\overline{27}$	597	26	13.13
28	590	44	14.04
Angus heifer	603	23	11.40
Shorthorn steer	605	32	12.97
Angus steer	607	25	12.57
Angus steer	600	27	12.18
Average	600.4	27.4	12.16

Table 2—Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers fed at Morgantown on grass alone (127 days) and grain and hay in dry lot (56 days), 1930

Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Brightness
9	599	26	10.65
10	625	14	11.34
11	610	20	10.84
12	625	13	11.13
13	604	20	10.88
14	607	16	10.66
15	603	24	12.14
16	606	23	10.91
Average	610	20	11.07

In some dark samples not only is the brightness value low, but there is an excess of blue and a deficiency of red, the resulting color being purple. The hue of such a purple is expressed as the blue complement of the color. For example steer 1, Lot 1-B, 1931, (Table 5) from the Lewisburg cattle had a hue value expressed as 491-C. This means that wave length 491, in the blue part of the spectrum, is one of two dominant hues, the other being red, and the resulting color being purple. The hue values for those samples having a purple color are not included in the averages because this color is a combination of two hues which cannot be averaged.

The color values for all the beef samples used are given in Tables 1 to 5.

The numbers of yearlings (Table 1) and three-year-old steers (Table 4) are not large enough to warrant definite conclusions. None of the three-year-old steers killed dark even though nearly all their growth and finish were produced on grass.

Comparison of the two-year-old steers fed at Morgantown (Tables 2 and 3) shows no appreciable differences in brightness, which is the

Table 3-Color volues of the beef from Lyear old steers at Morgantown, 1931

	Lo	Lot 1			LC	Lot 2			Lo	Lot 3	
Gr	Grass alone, rain on grass,	Grass alone, 56 days Grain on grass, 140 days	ø	Gra	Grass alone, E Grain on grass,	Grass alone, 84 days rain on grass, 112 da	ys	Gr	Frass alon ain on gr	Grass alone, 140 days Grain on grass, 56 days	ŵ
Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness
52	647	13	10.82	50	604	23	9.82	51	613	19	10.91
92.0	599	21	12,11	53	610	18	10.96	55	619	18	11.22
5.0	615	13	9.77	54	620	15	10.70	58	640	12	10.21
60	603	24	10.64	62	603	19	10.31	22	603	27	12.42
64	615	18	11,14	89	809	22	11.26	61	605	19	11.19
99	630	13	10.62	69	209	18	10.80	63	610	17	10.38
7.0	596	26	11.11	7.1	099	1.9	9.21	65	491C	20	9.82
73	099	18	11.33	72	599	21	10.92	29	638	13	10.39
7.4	209	19	10.64	75	598	26	11.52	2.2	597	28	11.26
92	631	15	11.03	7.9	645	10	11.19	78	620	14	9.46
Average	620.3	18.0	10.92	Average	615.4	19.1	10.67	Average	624.1	18.5	10.83

Table 4—Color values of the beef from 3-year-old steers fed on grass alone at Morgantown, 1930

Brightness	11.24 10.50 10.50 10.97 11.63 10.96 11.55
Intensity	7.48.02.22.23.25.44.23.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.
Hue	6002 6032 6033 6093 6093 6093 6093
Animal No.	1 22 4 6 5 7 7 Average

Table 5—Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers at Lewisburg

Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright.	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright.
				LOT 1-A	: GRASS	ALONE, 140	DAYS				
	000	1 0		,	000	0	11 90	21	002	06	11.94
<u></u>	508 508	n 0	10.01	⊣ c	000	000	19.50		610	: t-	70.7
4 +	009	0.55	8.96	0	000	07:	16.03	0 - 0	070	- 0	10.0
0.0	504	22.0	9.85	t ~	009	56	12.06	G.	988	30	9.23
6.0	865	0.8%	6.77	21	596	26	12.95	36	909	050	11.11
00	010	0.06	1126	66	109	19	10.63	-	909	25	10.94
n 9	010	0.00	00.0	150	506	96	13.86	6 7	613	17	36.6
7 0	900	0.00	000	3 to	200	010	11 99	1 4	607	06	10.01
62	621	0.61	10.05	00	000	010	19.16	0	009	010	10.01
00	601	24.5	7.T.O.T	φ, i	23.0	07,	10.10	00	000	0.70	# 00 C
9	601	97.0	11.00	96	605	13	10.13	20	100	X 2	66.6
25	596	20.5	8.43	61	610	21	11.07	29	597	30	11.44
Average	604	23.6	10.02		602	65.5	11.88		605	23.6	10.17
			LOT 1-B. GRASS	RASS ALONE.		140 DAYS: GRAIN	ON GRASS.	S, 56 DAYS	va		
										00	10
32	597	19.5	9.06	.1	909	4.5	11.89	- t	491C	27.5	27.6
48	597	19.5	8.46	e c	596	30	13.98	- 1	614) 17	10.49
55	009	30.0	12.53	01 	601	63	12.29	15	492C	21	4.39
1-	596	23.5	8.32	66	598		12.72	1.9	609	21	10.18
-	596	23.5	10.39	1 0	Steer	died		50	622	15	10.21
				41	605	25	15.02	23	640	14	9.11
				9†	605	22	13.53	861 801	0+9	13	9.98
				10	602	2.2	13.25	35	620	18	10.72
				55	602	31	12.25	e5	494C	26	8.65
				59	598	31	14.47	43	639	18	10.29
Average	597	23.2	9.75		601	27.2	13.67		626	18.0	10.14
		LOT	1-C: GRASS	ASS ALONE,	140 DAYS;	YS; GRAIN	IN DRY I	LOT, 56 DA	DAYS		
40	600	6.0		L-	601	26	13.13	9	647	9.5	10.58
2 10	200	0.55	10 01	10	603	25	13,44	10	640	70	10.30
; -	610	0.61	72.61	Ξ	609	30	13.73	<u>-1</u>	625	15	9.32
01	710	0.10	08.11	61	909	6	13.30	00	614	161	11.24
2. c	100	0.00	0.0	1	601) C	12.18	· -	499C	2.7	9.75
2.5	000	6.70	50.0	11	809		19.18	6.6	492C	4.6	9.34
				1 5	800	କ କମ ଆକମ	13.18	1 65	616	· 63	8.81
				24	610	ο σ -	11.98	40	640	21	10.00
				# C	200	20	13.30	. re	699	1.6	10.86
				9 1	900	* 00	12.16) L	110	0.6	11 29
	600	0 66	10.01	10	¥009	9.6	19.96	0	627	18.9	10.30
Average	603	0.67	10.01		200				1		

Table 5—Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers at Lewisburg (Continued)

Animal Hue Intensity Bright- ness Animal Hue Intensity Bright- ness No. Hue Intensity Bright- ness Animal Hue Intensity Hue Intensity Hue Intensity Hue Intensity Intensity Hue Hue Hue Hue Hue <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1929</th><th></th><th></th><th>15</th><th>1930</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1931</th><th></th></t<>			1929			15	1930				1931	
605 29.0 9.98 d	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright. ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			I	LOT 2: GR		l		ON GRASS	84			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	605	29.0	9.98	9	£09	24	10.32	¢1	009	2.5	13.44
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	œ	615	15.0	7.87	13	909	25	11.42	က	603	50	8.76
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54	599	32.0	11,45	15	599	2.7	11.00	4	596	o c i	12.96
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	009	30.5	11.46	28	620	14	11.98	ro	909	28	11.51
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41	603	32.0	10.21	1.C 61	604	151	10.83	6	£09	95	11.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					38	_	st		e i	909	96	10.39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					43	615	50	10.19	38	909	461	11.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					45	596	2.2	11.51	45	601	G 1	11.40
604 27.7 10.19 60 491C 1 12.10 59 601 59 31.0 7.61 5 605 23.9 11.17 603 601 26.0 10.00 9 606 25 10.38 17 598 603 25.5 12.29 26 600 21 10.51 21 602 603 25.5 12.49 31 597 30 11.28 24 615 603 25.5 12.51 32 605 27 599 608 25 11.28 27 602 27 599 609 606 27 13.07 26 602 29 609 604 24 11.25 39 602 599 7 604 24 11.45 44 599 605 89 613 17 11.45 599 605 504 89					4.0	269	60	12.13	49	109	C1	11.88
604 27.7 10.19 607 23.9 11.17 603 596 31.0 7.61 5 665 29 11.08 11 599 693 28.5 12.29 26 600 21 10.38 17 598 698 28.5 12.49 31 597 30 11.28 24 615 598 29.5 12.49 31 597 30 11.28 24 615 598 29.5 12.51 32 605 27 13.07 26 602 598 29.5 12.51 32 604 24 11.52 39 602 7 604 24 11.52 39 602 39 602 89 68 69 31 12.79 56 59 89 68 31 12.79 56 59 59 89 68 31 13.40 56					0.9	491C	1	12.10	5.9	601	60	11.29
596 31.0 7.61 50 29 11.08 11 599 601 26.0 10.00 9 606 25 11.08 11 599 693 28.5 12.29 26 600 21 10.51 21 602 698 29.5 12.51 32 60 27 11.28 24 615 698 29.5 12.51 32 60 27 13.07 26 602 598 29.5 12.51 32 60 23 11.28 27 59 604 24 11.52 39 602 7 604 24 11.52 39 602 89 613 17 11.45 44 599 80 28.1 10.98 603 25 11.40 36 594	Average	604	27.7	10.19		209	23.9	11.17		603	26.1	11.39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$:: ::		GRASS,					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	596	31.0	7.61	1.0	605	66	11.08	11	599	66	11 91
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	601	26.0	10.00	6.	909	25	10.38	17	86.5	100	10.96
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.7	599	28.5	12.29	56	009	21	10.51	21	603	66	11.32
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	67 67	603	25.5	12.49	31	262	30	11.28	G1	615	16	10.24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	598	29.5	12.51	63 63	605	2.7	13.07	26	602	Sel	10,15
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					9 .	596	28	11.25	61 [-	599	31	11.42
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					5.5	604	24	11.52	33	603	401	10.67
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					39	613	17	11,45	44	599	60	11,06
599 28.1 10.98 55 601 18 10.70 56 594 603 25 11.40 642					ग च	599	31	12.79	5.5	605	36	11.97
599 28.1 10.98 603 25 11.40 602					re ro	601	18	10.70	56	100	€5 11	13.16
	Average	599	28.1	10.98		603	25	11.40		603	26.7	11,14

color value most closely related to the color as seen with the eye. None

of these steers killed dark enough to be objectionable.

Among the Lewisburg cattle (Table 5) no differences attributable to feed appeared in 1929, although there were one or two dark carcasses in each lot. In 1930 all the cattle from Lewisburg killed bright. The beef from Lots 1-B and 1-C was noticeably brighter than that from the other three lots. The cattle in Lots 1-B and 1-C were fed grain after the grazing season and had more finish when killed than did those in the other lots. In 1931 the beef from Lots 2 and 3 appeared to be slightly brighter, but this difference was so small as to be negligible. The high values for hue and the appearance of several purple carcasses in Lots 1-B and 1-C constituted the main differences between the lots for this year. The available information provides no satisfactory explanation for the color of the beef from these two lots.

The color values of beef from the two-year-old steers, 186 in all. give no indications of any effect of feed on the color of lean. A few of these cattle killed dark, but these dark cutters occurred in different lots without any apparent relation to the feed consumed. There were but a few of these dark cutters, most of the beef being bright, regardless of the amount of grass or grain eaten. There was some indication of a relationship between brightness of lean and degree of finish, those cattle showing the higher degree of finish having the brightest lean. The differences in degree of finish and in brightness of lean were so small in the two-year-old steers that this relationship was not very pro-The fat yearlings carried considerably more finish than any of the other cattle and they showed a brighter lean than the two- or three-year-old cattle. Young cattle commonly show brighter lean than older cattle of the same degree of finish, for the older the cattle, the darker the color. A part of this greater degree of brightness in the yearlings probably is attributable to their youth.

Work done at other stations, especially Illinois (1) and Kansas (2), shows these same relationships between age, degree of finish, and bright-

ness of beef.

The experimental evidence obtained does not substantiate the idea that grass as a feed produces dark lean in beef. Well-finished grass-fed cattle can be expected to kill as bright as grain-fed cattle of the same degree of finish.

SUMMARY

Grass as a feed was not found to produce dark lean in beef.

The brightness of the lean of beef appears to be related directly

to the degree of finish of the beef.

Beef from grass-finished cattle can be expected to be as bright as beef from grain-finished cattle which show a comparable degree of finish.

(1) Bull, Sleeter. An. Report, Ill. Agr. Expt. Sta. 1928-29. (2) Mackintosh, D. L. Bien. Report, Kans. Agr. Expt. Sta. 1928-30

NOTE: The two-year-old steers fed at Lewisburg, from which beef samples were obtained, were included in Project P-7, a cooperative project between the Federal Bureau of Animal Industry and the W. Va. Agricultural Experiment Station. The author wishes to express his appreciation to those members of the Bureau whose cooperation made possible the collection of these data at Beltsville, Md.



